[1]

M3. (a) (i) Reducing agent

OR

Reduce(s) (WO<sub>3</sub>/tungsten oxide)

OR

electron donor

OR

to remove oxygen (from WO<sub>3</sub>/tungsten oxide or to form water);

# 1

# (ii) $WO_3 + 3H_2 \rightarrow W + 3H_2O$ Or multiples

1

# (iii) One from

 $H_2$  is

- explosive
- flammable or inflammable
- easily ignited
   Ignore reference to pressure or temperature

1

(b) (i) Addition

Ignore "electrophilic" Penalise "nucleophilic addition"

OR

(catalytic) hydrogenation

OR

Reduction

1

(ii) Geometric(al)

OR

cis/trans OR E Z OR E/Z

- 1
- (c) (i) (If any factor is changed which affects an equilibrium), the position of <u>equilibrium</u> will <u>shift/move/change/respond/act</u> so as <u>to oppose the change</u>.

## OR

(When a system/reaction in equilibrium is disturbed), the <u>equilibrium shifts/moves</u> in a direction which tends <u>to</u> reduce the disturbance

A variety of wording will be seen here and the key part is the last phrase and must refer to <u>movement of the equilibrium</u>. **QoL** 

(ii) **M1 – Statement of number of moles/molecules** There are <u>more moles/molecules</u> (of gas) on the left/of reactants

## OR

fewer moles/molecules (of gas) on the right./products

# OR

there are <u>4 moles/molecules</u> (of gas) on the left <u>and 2 moles/</u> <u>molecules</u> on the right.

Ignore "volumes" for M1 Mark independently

# M2 – Explanation of response/movement in terms of pressure

Increase in pressure is opposed (or words to that effect)

OR

pressure is lowered by a shift in the equilibrium (from left) to right/favours forward reaction.

2

(d)  $\Sigma B(reactants) - \Sigma B(products) = \Delta H(M1)$ 

# OR

<u>Sum</u> of bonds broken – <u>Sum</u> of bonds formed =  $\Delta H$  (**M1**)

 $B(H-H) + \frac{1}{2}B(O=O) - 2B(O-H) = -242$  (M1)

 $B(H-H) = -242 - \frac{1}{2}(+496) + 2(+463)$  (this scores **M1** and **M2**)

 $B(H-H) = (+)436 (kJ mol^{-1}) (M3)$ 

Award 1 mark for - 436

Candidates may use a cycle and gain full marks.

M1 could stand alone <u>Award full marks for correct answer.</u> Ignore units. Two marks can score with an arithmetic error in the working.

[11]

3

M4. (a) (i)  $2CuFeS_2 + 2SiO_2 + 4O_2 \rightarrow Cu_2S + 2FeSiO_3 + 3SO_2$ 

(ii) Acid rain

OR

an effect either from acid rain or from an acidic gas in the atmosphere

1

(iii)  $SO_2$  could be used to make  $H_2SO_4$ 

OR

to make gypsum/plaster or CaSO<sub>4</sub> (xH<sub>2</sub>O)

1

1

1

1

1

[7]

- (b)  $Cu_2S + 2O_2 \rightarrow 2CuO + SO_2$ Or multiples Ignore state symbols
- (c)  $2CuO + C \rightarrow 2Cu + CO_2$

## OR

$$CuO + C \rightarrow Cu + CO$$
  
Or multiples  
Ignore state symbols

- (d) (i) Any one from the following two ONLY Apply the list principle
  - (Scrap) iron is cheap
  - Low energy requirement
     Not "less energy"
  - (ii) Fe + Cu<sup>2+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Fe<sup>2+</sup> + Cu Or multiples Ignore state symbols

(b)	(i)	(+)5 or V or N⁵⁺	
		$(+)A \text{ or } \mathbb{N}/\mathbb{N}$	1
		(+)4 OF IV OF N <sup>**</sup>	1
		(+)2 or II or N <sup>2+</sup>	1
	(ii)	Reduction	1
		$4H^{+} + NO_{3^{-}} + 3e^{\ominus} \rightarrow NO + 2H_{2}O$	-
			1
	(iii)	$2H^{*} + NO_{3^{-}} + e^{(-)} \rightarrow NO_{2} + H_{2}O$	1
	(iv)	$Cu + 4H^{*} + 2 \operatorname{NO}_{3^{-}} \rightarrow Cu^{2*} + 2H_2O + 2NO_2$	
		species	1
		balanced	1
		If electrons included, mark CE if these are not balanced	1

M6. (a) (i)  $2C + O_2 \rightarrow 2CO$ OR  $C + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO$ Or multiples. Ignore state symbols.

> (ii)  $Fe_2O_3 + 3CO \rightarrow 2Fe + 3CO_2$  *Or multiples Penalise FE and Fe*<sub>2</sub> *Ignore state symbols*

1

1

[9]

## (iii) Economic:

- Scrap iron/steel has higher iron content.
- Recycling involves lower energy consumption
- Blast furnace not required
   Ignore cost
   Assume that "it" means recycling for both reasons

## **Environmental:**

- Reduces greenhouse gas / CO<sub>2</sub> / SO<sub>2</sub> emission.
- Reduces acid rain
- Reduces mining
- Reduces landfill
- Removes an eyesore

1

1

(b) (i) M1 Use of  $CI_2$  and C

M2 Balanced equation consequential on correct reactants

## EITHER

 $\mathsf{TiO}_2 + \mathbf{2CI}_2 + \mathbf{2C} \rightarrow \mathsf{TiCI}_4 + \mathbf{2CO}$ 

## OR

 $TiO_2 + 2Cl_2 + C \rightarrow TiCl_4 + CO_2$ Or multiples Ignore state symbols

2

(ii) M1 Use of Na OR Mg

M2 Balanced equation consequential on correct reactants

#### EITHER

 $TiCl_4 + 4Na \rightarrow Ti + 4NaCl$ 

## OR

 $TiCl_4$  + 2Mg  $\rightarrow$  Ti + 2MgCl<sub>2</sub>

- (iii) One from
  - TiC / carbide is produced
  - Product is brittle
  - Product is a poor engineering material

(c) (i) One from

To allow

- ions to move
- <u>current</u> to <u>flow</u>
- it to <u>conduct electricity</u>

(ii)  $2O^{2-} \rightarrow O_2 + 4e^{-}$ 

Or multiples including  $3O^{2-} \rightarrow 1.5 O_2 + 6e^-$ Ignore state symbols Ignore charge on the electron Credit the electron being subtracted on the LHS

1

1

2

1

(iii) Carbon / graphite / the electrodes <u>oxidise</u>
 OR
 Carbon / graphite / the electrodes <u>burn in</u> / <u>react with</u> the <u>oxygen</u> formed
 OR
 carbon dioxide / CO₂ is formed

1

(iv) Recycling involves lower electricity OR less energy consumption
 OR
 The converse for electrolysis

Ignore references to raw materials Assume that "it" means recycling The answer MUST show some evidence of comparison e.g. lower or less

[13]

M7		$(\mathbf{a})$	M1 MpO + $4H_{1} + 2c_{2} \rightarrow Mp_{2} + 2H_{2}$	
IVI / .		(a)	$\mathbf{W} \mathbf{I}  \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{O}_2 + 4\mathbf{\Pi} + 2\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}^2 + 2\mathbf{\Pi}_2 \mathbf{O}$	1
			OR multiples	
		M2	An oxidising agent is an <u>electron acceptor</u> OR <u>receives / accepts / gains electrons</u>	
			Ignore state symbols	
			M2 NOT an "electron pair acceptor"	1
		М3	MnO₂ is the oxidising agent	
			Ignore "takes electrons" or "takes away electrons"	1
	(b)	M1	Formation of SO <sub>2</sub> and Br <sub>2</sub> (could be in an equation)	1
		M2	Balanced equation	
			Several possible equations $2KBr + 3H_2SO_4 \rightarrow 2KHSO_4 + Br_2 + SO_2 + 2H_2O$	
			$2KBr + 2H_2SO_4 \to K_2SO_4 + Br_2 + SO_2 + 2H_2O$	1
		М3	$2$ KBr + Cl <sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow 2$ KCl + Br <sub>2</sub>	
			M2 Could be ionic equation with or without K <sup>+</sup> <b>2</b> Br <sup>-</sup> + <b>6</b> H <sup>+</sup> + <b>3</b> SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> $\rightarrow$ Br <sub>2</sub> + <b>2</b> HSO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> + SO <sub>2</sub> + <b>2</b> H <sub>2</sub> O ( <b>3</b> H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	
			$2Br^{-} + 4H^{+} + SO_{4}^{2-} \rightarrow Br_{2} + SO_{2} + 2H_{2}O$ $(2HBr + H_{2}SO_{2})$	
			Accept HBr and $H_2SO_4$ in these equations as shown or mixed variants that balance.	
			Ignore equations for KBr reacting to produce HBr M3 Could be ionic equation with or without K⁺	
			$2Br^{-} + Cl_{2} \rightarrow 2Cl^{+} + Br_{2}$	1

M4 % atom economy of bromine

$$= \frac{Br_2}{2KBr + Cl_2} \times 100 = \frac{(2 \times 79.9)}{238 + 71} \times 100 = \frac{159.8}{309} \times 100$$

= **51.7%** OR **52%** 

M4 Ignore greater number of significant figures

- M5 One from:
- High atom economy
- Less waste products
- Cl<sub>2</sub> is available on a large-scale
- No SO<sub>2</sub> produced
- Does not use concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>
- (Aqueous) KBr or bromide (ion) in seawater.
- Process 3 is simple(st) or easiest to carry out M5 Ignore reference to cost Ignore reference to yield

# (c) **M1** HBr **-1**

- M2 HBrO (+)1
- M3 Equilibrium will shift <u>to the right</u> *OR* <u>L to R</u> *OR* Favours forward reaction *OR* Produces more HBrO
- M4 Consequential on correct M3 OR to oppose the loss of HBrO OR replaces (or implied) the HBrO (that has been used up)

[12]

1

1

1

1

1