

## **A-Level Physics**

## **Magnetic Fields**

**Mark Scheme** 

Time available: 74 minutes Marks available: 50 marks

www.accesstuition.com

## Mark schemes

1.

(a) Core – links the magnetic flux/field from the primary coil to the secondary coil  $\checkmark_1$  owtte

Secondary coil – (a conductor) has a <u>varying</u> magnetic flux/field passing through/linking with it  $\checkmark_2$  owtte

to induce an emf determined by the number of turns in the primary and secondary coils  $\checkmark_3$  owtte

 $\checkmark_1$  The word 'links' can be replaced with channels/directs /concentrates/focuses.

 $\checkmark_2$  'varying' is important for this mark.

 $\checkmark_3$  induction and reference to turns ratio both must be mentioned.

(b) The sheets of material **M** are made from insulator/high resistivity material which prevents/limits eddy currents from flowing in the core.  $\checkmark_1$ 

(soft) iron is used because it efficiently links the magnetic field or it magnetises and demagnetises easily  $\checkmark_2$ 

thin sheets of iron are used so smaller emfs are induced in the core (which increases the efficiency)  $\checkmark_3$ 

thin sheets of iron are used so resistance is high causing lower currents (which increases the efficiency)  $\checkmark_4$ 

 $\checkmark_2$  A reference to the benefit of using iron must be made (repeating the function of the core is not enough)

Any 3 points gain the marks; however, if  $\checkmark_3$  and  $\checkmark_4$  are both used one must refer to the resulting increase in efficiency

(c) If the voltage is lower/33 kV then power is transmitted at <u>high</u> <u>current</u>. So <u>energy is wasted/lost</u> in the cable by *I*<sup>2</sup>*r* heating. *✓*<sub>1</sub> owtte

If the voltage is made too high this will create major insulation/isolation difficulties.  $\checkmark_2$  owtte

 $\checkmark_2$  higher pylons, transformers that have better insulation against spark over, more costly equipment

(d) Use of efficiency  $\eta = \frac{power_{out}}{power_{in}}$  once  $\checkmark^1$ 

Use of I = P/V once at any point  $\checkmark_2$ 

I = 3200 (A) (correct answer only, no ecf)  $\checkmark_3$ 

✓ 1 examples could be: power at 132 kV = 72 / 0.98 = 73.5 MW Or at transmission line start = 73.5 / 0.94 = 78.2 MW Or at 25 kV = 78.2 / 0.98 = 79.8 MW Or in single stage Power at 25 kV = 72 / (0.94 × 0.98<sup>2</sup>) = 79.8 MW) ✓<sub>2</sub> expect I = 79.8 × 10<sup>6</sup> / 25 × 10<sup>3</sup> = 3200 A

[11]

3

(a) Magnetic flux density at 0.070 m = 0.07  $\pm$  0.005 T  $\checkmark$ 

(use of flux linkage  $N\Phi = BAN$ = 0.07 × 3.5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> × 200)

2.

Flux linkage =  $4.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-4}$  (Wb-turns)  $\checkmark$ shown calculated to at least 2 sig figs

2

(b) (As the coil moves) there is a rate of change of flux through the coil  $\checkmark_1$  (owtte)

The induced emf is proportional to the rate of change of flux (linkage) so the (magnitude) of the emf decreases  $\checkmark_2$  (owtte)

✓<sub>1</sub> The first part ie the induced emf is proportional to the rate of change of flux linkage may be given in a number of ways eg emf =  $N \frac{\Delta \Phi}{\Delta t}$  or  $N \frac{\Delta (BA)}{\Delta t}$  or simply saying 'because of Faraday's law'. Ignore the sign of the emf ✓<sub>2</sub> It's not enough to say the emf decreases Connection between rate of change of flux and change of flux with distance must be made

(c) Finding a gradient from a tangent  $\checkmark_1$ 

Attempting to use Faraday's law

$$emf = N \frac{\Delta(BA)}{\Delta t}$$

OR incorporating velocity into Faraday's law  $NA\left(\frac{\Delta B}{\Delta r}\right)v$ 

emf =  $(200 \times 3.5 \times 10^{-5}(0.693) \times 0.80)$ emf = 3.6 to 4.2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> (V)  $\checkmark_3$ 

The maximum emf (in the range considered) is the greatest at x = 0.10 m (as the gradient is the greatest)

So No  $\checkmark_4$  owtte

 $\checkmark_1$  This can be calculated at any x

eg at 
$$x = 0.10 \text{ m gives} \frac{\Delta B}{\Delta x} = \left(\frac{0.095}{0.137}\right) = 0.69(3) \text{ (T m}^{-1})$$

 $\checkmark_2$  The mark is given for an attempt to use Faraday's law. Allow errors provided the form of the equation remains correct.

 $\checkmark_3$  The expected value is 3.8(8) × 10<sup>-3</sup> V {range to be decided at standardisation}

 $\checkmark_4$  No and an indication that the emf at x = 0.10 m is the maximum available. This could come earlier in the answer and can be inferred by a reference to the maximum gradient in the range considered. No ecf.

If no marks are awarded allow 1 mark if candidate states that the largest emf is expected at x = 0.10 m

If only the second mark is awarded allow a mark for finding  $\frac{\Delta B}{\Delta t}$  or  $N \frac{\Delta \phi}{\Delta t}$  between x = 0.07 and 0.10 m (e.g.  $\frac{200 \times 3.5 \times 10^{-5}(0.07 - 0.024)}{0.0375}$ )

(a) 
$$N = \frac{\Phi}{AB}$$
 Or  $N = \frac{1.5 \times 10^{-3}}{2.5 \times 10^{-2} \times 5.0 \times 10^{-4}}$ 

N = 120 (turns)  $\checkmark_2$ 

3.

 $\checkmark_1$  N must be the subject of the equation for the mark.

 $\checkmark_2$  A correct answer gains both marks. If no mark is awarded a single mark can be given for  $\Phi$  = BAN cos 30° being used to find N = 139.

(b)  $\Phi$ (= NAB cos $\theta$  = 1.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> cos 30°)

Flux linkage =  $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$  (Wb turns)  $\checkmark$ 

4

2

1

[8]

(c)  $f = \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{0.25} = 4.0$  (Hz) or  $\omega = 25.1$  or  $8\pi$  (rad s<sup>-1</sup>)  $\checkmark_1$ 

Peak emf (=  $BAN \frac{2\pi}{r} = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \times \frac{2\pi}{0.25}$ )

 $\checkmark_1$  Condone using 1 sig fig for f but not  $\omega$  or T.

The mark can be gained from seeing f or  $\omega$  or T given explicitly or from a substitution in the peak emf equation in the second mark.

 $\checkmark_2$  A correct answer gains both marks.



Either solid or dashed line gains mark  $\checkmark$ 

The mark is dependent on the exact crossing of the time axis which has a tolerance of  $\pm 1$  small square.

The vertical axis figures is not expected.

Also ignore errors in height and the exact positions of the peaks.

Only a rough sinusoidal shape is expected. A triangular shape with very slightly rounded edges would be acceptable.

|    |     |   |   | [6] |
|----|-----|---|---|-----|
| 4. | (a) | Vertically up (third row of table) $\checkmark$   | 1 |     |
|    | (b) | (Using Flemings LHR) the configuration of the letters is S $N \checkmark$<br>Answer must be near / on the dashed lines.   | 1 |     |
|    | (c) | The tesla is the (strength) of the magnetic field / flux density that produces a force of 1 newton in a wire of length 1m with 1 ampere (flowing perpendicular to the field). $\checkmark$ (owtte but must contain 1N, 1A and 1m) |   |     |
|    |     | For mark a reference to 1N, 1A and 1m must be seen. However the word 'unit' is equivalent to '1'.   |   |     |
|    |     | E.g. unit force = $1N$ .  |   |     |
|    |     | Do not allow definitions based on $F = Bqv$ .   |   |     |
|    |     |   | 1 |     |

2

(d) Use of  $(B = F/II) = mg/II\sqrt{(mark may come from substitution as in next line)}$ 

Treat power of 10 error as an AE so lose one mark only.

B = 0.620 × 
$$10^{-3}$$
 × 9.81 / (3.43 × 0.0500) = 0.035 or 0.036 (T) ✓  
Lack of use of 'g' is a PE and scores zero.

2

2

2

[5]

(a) period determined from at least 4 cycles, in range 3.8(0) to 5.0(0)  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> s  $\checkmark$ 

frequency =  $\frac{1}{\text{period}}$  in range 2300 ± 300 Hz  $\checkmark$ accept 2 sf period, 2.3 × 10<sup>3</sup> Hz

(b) peak to peak voltage = 6.8 divisions seen  $\checkmark$ 

5.

rms voltage = 24 mV  $\checkmark$ accept 24.0 or 24.1 mV

(c) flux linked with the search coil depends on the <u>area</u> of coil presented  ${}_1\checkmark$ area is proportional to  $d\cos\theta_2\checkmark$ 

[flux linked with the search coil depends on component of B perpendicular to the plane of the coil\_1  $\checkmark$ 

component is prop  $B\cos\theta$ , or suitable sketch]<sub>2</sub>  $\checkmark$ 

for  $_{1}\checkmark$  accept  $N\varphi = BA$ for  $_{2}\checkmark$  accept evidence in sketch, e.g.

$$d = \frac{1}{d \cos \theta}$$

(d) six correctly calculated values of  $\cos\theta$ ; accept all to 3 sf or all to 4 sf  $_1\checkmark$ axes labelled, correct separator and unit with l, suitable scales  $_2\checkmark$ plots correct to half a square (check at least one)  $_3\checkmark$ ruled straight line extrapolated to meet either or both axes  $_4\checkmark$ [for false plot allow  $_2\checkmark$  and  $_4\checkmark = 2$  MAX]

| $\cos\!	heta$ | <i>l</i> /cm | $	heta l^{\circ}$ |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 0.985         | 6.7          | 10                |
| 0.829         | 5.6          | 34                |
| 0.643         | 4.4          | 50                |
| 0.500         | 3.4          | 60                |
| 0.309         | 2.1          | 72                |
| 0.156         | 1.1          | 81                |

(e) direct proportionality is confirmed since graph is a straight line with zero [negligible] intercept√

[allow ecf for false plot] must refer to intercept

(f) idea of repositioning trace  $\sqrt{1}$ 

(to reposition the trace) so that an end of the line is aligned with [close to] a (horizontal) graduation  $_2 \checkmark$ 

(to reposition the trace) so that the line is aligned with the <u>central</u> (vertical) graduation on the screen  $_{3}\checkmark$ 

associates *y*-shift and *x*-shift correctly with trace change  $_4\checkmark$ 

accept clear marks on Fig 7 for all except  $4^{th}$  point allow alignment with graduation (can be major or minor) of either end of the line for<sub>2</sub> $\checkmark$ 

4

4

adjust y-voltage gain to a less sensitive [precise] setting [20 mV cm<sup>-1</sup>]  $\checkmark$ (g)

since / is increased beyond the range of the screen [vertical length of trace is too great]  $\checkmark$ 

because induced emf is proportional to rate of change of flux linkage [or quotes Faraday's Law] √

and rate of change of flux linkage is doubled [same flux change in half the time]  $\checkmark$ accept 'reduce Y gain' but reject 'use lower Y gain setting' no credit for suggestions that time-base setting should be changed answer without quantitative detail 2 MAX

3 MAX

(h) evidence of suitable test employed to test whether curve shows exponential decrease, e.g. valid measurement of half life over more than one region  $\sqrt{1}$ 

states that trend is not exponential  $_{2}\checkmark$ cannot earn  $_{2}\checkmark$  without valid  $_{1}\checkmark$